UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CIVIL MINUTES - GENERAL

Case No.	CV 17-6187 FMO (ASx)			Date	Sept. 26, 2017		
Title	Jose Tinoco v. Hajoca Corporation						
Present: The Honorable Fernando M.			. Olguin, United States	District	Judge		
Vanessa Figueroa		None					
Deputy Clerk		Court Reporter / Reco	Tape No.				
Attorney Present for Plaintiff(s):			Attorney Present for Defendant(s):				
None Present			None Present				
Proceedings: (In Chambers) Order to Show Cause Re: Remand							

Jurisdiction in this case is asserted on the basis of the Class Action Fairness Act ("CAFA"), 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d). (See Notice of Removal ("NOR") at ¶ 5). "CAFA provides expanded original diversity jurisdiction for class actions meeting the amount in controversy and minimal diversity and numerosity requirements set forth in 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d)(2)." United Steel, Paper & Forestry, Rubber, Mfg., Energy, Allied Indus. & Serv. Workers Int'l Union, AFL-CIO, CLC v. Shell Oil Co., 602 F.3d 1087, 1090-91 (9th Cir. 2010). Under that provision, "district courts shall have original jurisdiction of any civil action in which the matter in controversy exceeds the sum or value of \$5,000,000, exclusive of interest and costs, and is a class action in which . . . any member of a class of plaintiffs is a citizen of a State different from any defendant[.]" 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d)(2).

Having reviewed the NOR, the court questions whether the claims of the individual class members exceed \$5,000,000 in the aggregate. See 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d)(2); Dart Cherokee Basin Operating Co., LLC v. Owens, 135 S.Ct. 547, 554 (2014) ("Evidence establishing the amount is required . . . when the plaintiff contests, or the court questions, the defendant's allegation.").

Accordingly, IT IS ORDERED that:

- 1. No later than **October 3, 2017,** defendant shall show cause in writing why this action should not be remanded for the reasons noted above. This deadline shall <u>not</u> extend the time for responding to any motion for remand filed by plaintiff. **Failure to respond to the OSC by the deadline set forth above shall be deemed as consent to the remand of the action to state court.**
 - 2. Plaintiff shall file a reply to defendant's OSC response no later than October 10, 2017.
- 3. A copy of all papers filed with the court shall be delivered to the drop box outside chambers at Suite 520, Spring Street Courthouse, 312 North Spring Street, **no later than 12:00 noon the following business day**. All chambers copies shall comply fully with the document formatting requirements of Local Rule 11-3, including the "backing" requirements of Local Rule

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CIVIL MINUTES - GENERAL

Case No.	CV 17-6187 FMO (ASx)	Date	Sept. 26	5, 201 7	7
Title	Jose Tinoco v. Hajoca Corporation				
	nsel may be subject to sanctions for failure to deliver ce with this Order and Local Rule 11-3.	a mano	datory cha	ambers	s copy in
			00	_ :	00
	Initials of Pre	parer	vdr		